

## **EXHIBIT D**

1 Page 1

2

3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

4

5 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

6 - - - - - x

7 IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL : MDL NO. 1456

8 INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE : CIVIL ACTION:

9 PRICE LITIGATION : 01-CV-12257-PBS

10 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO :

11

12 U.S. ex rel. Ven-a-Care of : Judge Patti B.

13 the Florida Keys, Inc. v. : Saris

14 Abbott Laboratories, Inc., : Chief Magistrate

15 No. 06-CV-11337-PBS : Judge Marianne B.

16 - - - - - x Bowler

17 State of California ex rel. :

18 Ven-a-Care of the Florida Keys, :

19 Inc. v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc.,:

20 No. 03-CV-11226 :

21 - - - - - x

22 Miami, Florida

23 Wednesday, May 9, 2007

24

25 Videotaped deposition of T. MARK JONES,

26

1 Page 70

2 in that fashion.

3 Q. Are handwritten notes -- first of all,

4 does Ven-a-Care have any handwritten notes that are

5 kept?

6 A. We do.

7 Q. Are those also Bates stamped and control

8

9 numbered?

10

11 A. They are.

12

13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me. Could we

14 take a 30-second break, please.

15 MR. COOK: Absolutely. Is this a good

16 time for a bathroom break?

17 THE WITNESS: That would be great.

18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 10:05 a.m., going off

19 the record, end of Videotape No. 1.

20 (Thereupon, a recess was taken, after

21 which the following proceedings were held:)

22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 10:18 a.m. Videotape

23 No. 2. We are back on the record.

24 BY MR. COOK:

25 Q. Mr. Jones, we started describing earlier

26 sort of the business of Ven-a-Care in 1987 and the

1 Page 71

2

3 1 documents that generated in the business that you  
4 had.

5 3 Am I correct in assuming that the business  
6 of Ven-a-Care remained largely the same from 1987  
7 through 1992, or did it change at all?

8 6 A. It remained largely the same, but it began  
9 changing in '91, '92.

10 8 Q. In what way did it begin changing?

11 9 A. Well, we got into the lawsuit with three

12

13

14 10 of our physician referral sources. They -- they  
15 went -- they brought a proposal to us from an NMC  
16 home care ventures company that wanted to do what we  
17 were doing, only they wanted to be able to do it  
18 also in the physicians' offices.

19 15 Q. Okay.

20 16 A. That is what the -- what spurned the  
21 lawsuit was we had -- three of the physicians were  
22 also shareholders of Ven-a-Care, and we had them in  
23 a noncompete, and they sued us based on the  
24 noncompete, saying that it was not a legal -- or a  
25 legal thing in Florida.

26 22 Q. And so beginning in 1992, some of the

1 Page 72

2

3 1 efforts of Ven-a-Care were diverted to a lawsuit, I  
4 2 take it?

5 3 A. A significant amount.

6 4 Q. Once the lawsuit was initiated in 1992 -5

7 MR. BREEN: Objection to form.

8 6 MR. COOK: You are right, I probably have  
9 7 that wrong.

10 8 MR. BREEN: I tell you it was '91.

11 9 BY MR. COOK:

12

13

14 10 Q. Once the lawsuit was initiated, how much  
15 11 of your business continued on providing infusion  
16 12 services as it was before?

17 13 A. I want to say that it decreased  
18 14 significantly quickly. Statistically, it is hard  
19 15 for me to tell you. Because they opened up their  
20 16 own competing pharmacy, they were able to refer  
21 17 their patients to themselves. So a lot of our  
22 18 patients were lost to them.

23 19 Now, we had some patients, and we  
24 20 continued to take care of them. But, no, it was  
25 21 dramatically different. I mean, that was part of  
26 22 our countersuit, you know.

1 Page 73

2 Q. And so if we measure the volume of

3 Ven-a-Care's business by patients -

4

3 A. Uh-huh.

5

4 Q. -- it dropped substantially with -- about

6

5 the time that this lawsuit was initiated with these

7

6 three physicians?

8

7 A. Yeah.

10

11 Q. Did it ever pick back up again?

12

13 A. No.

14

10 Q. And so the records from 1991 or '92 to the

11

present of patient records, those would represent

12

the services that Ven-a-Care was providing to that

13

reduced patient flow; correct?

14

A. In general. In general. Well, because

15

sometimes we had long-term patients. I mean, there

16

may have been someone that we did for five years,

17

you know.

18

Q. There may have been someone who was with

19

you before -20

20

A. Right.

21

Q. -- and stayed with you after the lawsuit

22

and the diminution in your patient flow?

1 Page 80

2

3 1 Fresenius; correct?

4 2 A. Correct.

5 3 Q. As I understand it, Ven-a-Care also

6 continued investigatory activity relating to drug

7 pricing issues as well throughout the '90s; is that

8 correct?

9 7 A. That's correct.

10 8 Q. My question is, what types of

11 9 investigatory activities did Ven-a-Care undertake

12

13

14 10 throughout the '90s and into -- after 2000 until

15 11 today relating to drug pricing?

16 12 A. Well, I think, to the best of my

17 13 recollection, the easiest way for me to describe it,

18 14 in the beginning we started to understand -- I mean,

19 15 the lawsuit with ImmuneCare, the biggest allegation

20 16 that I recall, the one that really stuck in my craw

21 17 was the physicians referring to themselves and

22 18 splitting the -- splitting the profits with the

23 19 pharmacy of NMC home care and NMC ventures, whoever

24 20 the -- I can't remember who their actual venture

25 21 partner was, you know.

26 22 Q. Uh-huh.

1 Page 81

2

3 1 A. And Zach and I had -- Zach Bentley and I  
4 had been up here at a conference just before this  
5 happened with Mershon Sawyer, and I don't know if  
6 Mershon Sawyer is a law firm that still exists here.

7 5 And they were actually doing for health care  
8 providers a symposia -- symposium on Stark 1 and  
9 physician self-referral issues.

10 8 And we got invited -- I can't remember how  
11 it came about that we got invited up. And this was

12

13

14 10 pre the ImmuneCare lawsuit.

15 11 Q. Uh-huh.

16 12 A. And we were given information on, you  
17 know, things you can do to make sure that you are  
18 not put in a position where, you know, a referring  
19 physician is construed as being self-referring.

20 16 And it was just coincidental that just a  
21 month or two after we had been here, Dr. Siegel  
22 approached us, and I think the date that he actually  
23 approached us was August 27th, 1991, it was kind of  
24 hard to forget it, and told us that he had a great  
25 business plan for us.

26 22 And, you know, Dr. Siegel is a doctor that

1 Page 82

2

3 we knew and had worked with. And, actually, my wife  
4 and I had socialized with him, and he was a gay  
5 doctor, and his partner, John Denitkus, owned  
6 probably the most successful medical practice in  
7 town at the time, and they were doing most of the  
8 HIV care. So we had social ties.

9 And so we were pretty dumbfounded when he  
10 came there and gave us the proposal from NMC for  
11 ImmuneCare that contemplated, you know, us taking

12

13

14 and giving them all of our patients and coming to  
15 work for them.

16 And there were, you know, issues in there  
17 about the rent, getting paid more rent than what the  
18 market -- what the market allowed, and then  
19 offered -- and I can't remember the pro formas  
20 exactly. We have those, and if they are  
21 discoverable, you will certainly see them. Offered  
22 to make us millionaires in five years. You know,  
23 they wanted to take this and turn it into a  
24 prototype outpatient HIV treatment center and turn  
25 it into what they had done with the SRD facilities.  
26 And Mr. Ham -- Dr. Hamper is who Larry -

1       Page 83

2

3       1 Larry Siegel was an old colleague of his, is the one  
4       2 who approached Larry or Larry approached him and  
5       3 came up with the concept.

6       4 What I'm trying to say is Dr. Hamper and  
7       5 Larry were pioneers in developing outpatient end  
8       6 stage renal disease treatment facilities, so they  
9       7 had a history of doing that.

10      8 The glitch with us was we would have to  
11      9 give up our autonomy, our business. They were -

12

13

14      10 the way that they produced the pro formas, they were  
15      11 taking a patient population that we had been  
16      12 servicing for the last few years and tripling or  
17      13 quadrupling the services.

18      14 And we were like, you know, where is that  
19      15 coming from? The patient population isn't changing.

20      16 If anything, it is declining because HIV patients in  
21      17 general were dying. They didn't have the protease  
22      18 inhibitors and the oral therapies that are on the  
23      19 market now that make their lives more of a chronic  
24      20 illness and, you know, increase the quality of it.

25      21 That was one of the statements that  
26      22 Dr. Siegel made to us, was, well, we have ways

1 Page 84

2 of billing -- NMC has ways of billing that you've  
3 never heard of. Immediately that piqued our  
4 attention, you know.

5 Q. And so as I understand it, in terms of the  
6 investigations that you undertook, part of it was  
7 research to determine both, I guess, the legal -

8

9 legal basis and educate yourself about the legal  
10  
11 basis; correct?

12

13 A. Well, we hired Mr. Breen. He represented  
14 us, and we started learning, you know, as much as we  
15 could.

16 Q. And you undertook factual investigations,  
17 as I understand it, both in that case and in later  
18 drug pricing investigations; correct?

19 A. We did.

20 Q. Could you tell me, what activities did you  
21 undertake as part of your factual investigations in  
22 the drug pricing cases?

23 MR. BREEN: Objection to form. I would  
24 also caution the witness that -- and I'm not  
25 instructing the witness not to answer, but I  
26 will interpose an objection at the appropriate

1 Page 85

2 1 time if it gets into investigations that were  
3 conducted with counsel that may fall under the  
4 work product privilege. I'm not going to  
5 impose any objection yet, but I will just  
6 caution the witness if we get to that point,  
7 let me object and then we will discuss it.

8

9 7 MR. COOK: Sure.

10

11 8 BY MR. COOK:

12

13 9 Q. I'm asking now just for general  
14 10 descriptions of what you have done as opposed to the  
15 11 results of the investigation or what you actually  
16 12 learned. But if you can, at a 50,000-foot level,  
17 13 describe sort of what investigations you undertook.

18 14 A. Off the top of my head, we restarted

19 15 researching the reimbursement issues. Well,  
20 16 remember -- or let me give you some information.

21 17 Most of the patients that we took care of  
22 18 were HIV patients, the majority, you know. I want  
23 19 to tell you 90 percent. And the drugs that we were  
24 20 using to treat them were single source drugs. They  
25 21 were drugs that were brand new. I mean, I gave you  
26 22 an example of pentamidine. Well, the other good

1 Page 86

2

3 1 example is Cytovene, which is a drug produced by  
4 Sentex Labs, for CMV retinitis, which is another -3  
5 which was a really, very opportunistic infection.

6 4 Many of them were going blind from it.

7 5 So, I mean, we were even on the level when

8 6 we first started doing this, doing

9 7 experimental therapy -- DHPG was the precursor to  
10 8 Cytovene. So we were able to work through our  
11 9 physicians with whomever, whatever pharmaceutical

12

13

14 10 company was sponsoring it.

15 11 The point is, I'm rambling, that a lot of

16 12 what we were looking at in drugs were -- were brand  
17 13 named, single source drugs, you know, didn't -- you  
18 14 didn't have opportunities to buy except in  
19 15 certain -- certain ways.

20 16 Q. Uh-huh.

21 17 A. So we started looking at all of the drugs.

22 18 Because one of the drugs that they used in their pro  
23 19 forma was that, and one was IVIG. They were using  
24 20 IVIG, which is a biological drug that is used  
25 21 primarily for autoimmune disorders, say like  
26 22 Guillain-Barre or -- there is a few other, I just

1 Page 87

2

3 1 can't recall off the top of my head what their  
4 indications were.

5 3 But they were using doctors -- doctors can  
6 off use something off label, you know. And they  
7 were using IVIGs on patients to -- to boost their  
8 immune system, so to speak. That is the best way I  
9 can put it.

10 8 And that was one of the drugs that, you  
11 know, we started looking at, how you can buy it and

12

13

14 10 how you can get reimbursed. And, you know, we  
15 called different insurance companies, we talked to  
16 different, you know, third party payors. We also  
17 would call Medicaid -- not Medicaid, more of their  
18 Consult Tech or Unisist, the ones that administered,  
19 you know, the payment of claims and the  
20 reimbursement part. I don't know. And Florida had  
21 either Consult Tech or Unisist. I can't remember.  
22 They had both. I can't remember which one at the  
23 time.

24 20 We would call up and give them NDC  
25 numbers, and they would give us the reimbursement  
26 amount. And we started compiling lists and watching

1       Page 88

2       1 what ImmuneCare was doing in prescription, you know,  
3       2 in prescribing out there.

4       3 Q. You described on several occasions  
5       4 telephone conversations that you would have as part  
6       5 of your factual investigations.

7       6 A. Uh-huh.

8

9       7 Q. Would you keep any record of those  
10  
11      8 telephone conversations?

12

13      9 A. I think we have some handwritten records  
14      10 of telephone conversations. I'm not going to sit  
15      11 here and tell you how many or, you know, where they  
16      12 exist. We kept notes at times, sure.

17      13 Q. There would be handwritten notes of your  
18      14 conversations?

19      15 A. Yes.

20      16 Q. Would you ever do a formal memorandum of a  
21      17 telephone interview?

22      18 A. I believe if a formal memorandum was done,  
23      19 it would have been done through our counsel. We  
24      20 would have called, given the information, counsel  
25      21 would have put together a memorandum for us -22

26      Q. Leaving aside counsel preparing something,